



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-------------|------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/017,265 | 12/14/2001 | GopalaKrishna Reddy Kakivaya | MSFT-0736/183220.01 | 6084 |
| 41505 7590 01/28/2009 WOODCOCK WASHBURN LLP (MICROSOFT CORPORATION) CIRA CENTRE, 12TH FLOOR 2929 ARCH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19104-2891 | | | | |
| | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | BASEHOAR, ADAM L | |
| | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | 2178 | | |
| | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | 01/28/2009 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/017,265

Applicant(s)

KAKIVAYA ET AL.

Examiner

ADAM L. BASEHOAR

Art Unit

2178

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ADAM L. BASEHOAR.(3) Frank Derosa.(2) Joseph Oriti.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 27 January 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference

c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 16 and 30.

Identification of prior art discussed: W3C and Upton.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Constructively discussed proposed amendments to the independent claims as well as the general scope of the W3C and Upton prior art references. The "one to one mapping" and "is an instance" limitations of the claims were further explained in view of the proposed amendments. The Examiner noted that further search and consideration was needed in view of the proposed amendments.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Adam L. Basehoar/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2178